

REMARKS

1. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C 112. Applicant has submitted Claims 6-8 to recite a dependency as noted by the Examiner. Accordingly, Claims 6 through 8 are now in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112 and, accordingly are allowable based upon their dependency upon allowable Claim 5.
2. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. 102. The claims accompanying this submission have been revised such that Claim 1 is now cancelled and Claim 10 has amended to include the subject matter of Claim 27, which is indicated by the Examiner to be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Because Claim 27 is dependent on Claim 10, the inclusion of the subject matter of Claim 27 and Claim 10 overcomes the Examiner's rejection.

Only one problem exists with regard to Claim 37. While the Examiner indicates that Claim 37 is cancelled at paragraph 8 of his Office Action, the Examiner indicates at Item 10 that Claim 37 is allowable subject matter. Applicant notes that the front page of the Office Action indicates that Claim 37 is rejected but that Claim 34 is allowed. Applicant understands the Examiner to have intended that Claim 37 be rejected and that Claim 34 be allowed. Accordingly, Applicant has cancelled Claim 37.

In view of the foregoing, the application is deemed to be in allowable condition and the Examiner is requested to issue a Notice of Allowance such that the application may pass issuance of US Letter Patent.

Should the Examiner deem it helpful, he is encouraged to contact Applicant's attorney, Michael A. Glenn, at (650) 474-8400.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 07-1445.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael A. Glenn
Reg. No. 30,176

Customer No. 22862